

The art of avoiding defaults

Why credit risk is crucial for bond investors



In the first in a new series on fixed income investing techniques, we examine how bond investors can minimise the risk of defaults. In our experience, this approach not only protects value but allows investors to strengthen conviction in their portfolio – and potentially achieve better investment outcomes.



Maxence-Louis Mormede
CIO Advanced Fixed Income



Roland Ruebesam
Head of AFI Global Selection

Defaults and other credit events, in which issuers fail to pay bondholders what they are owed, are a fact of life in financial markets. Affecting both sovereign and corporate issuers, they have occurred in developed and emerging markets – ranging, for example, from the collapse of Lehman Brothers to Ethiopia’s international default in 2023.

These events can be damaging to fixed income investors. But most defaults do not come out of the blue: the signs of an impending default are visible. In this article, we explore our approach to spotting the warning signs and protecting value for investors.

Key takeaways

- Key to successful fixed income investing is a thorough credit assessment to identify and blacklist issuers at risk of default.
- Investors must take politics into account and assess not only an issuer’s ability to repay but its willingness to do so.
- Rather than encouraging an excessively cautious approach, a laser focus on default risk can build the conviction that supports superior risk-adjusted returns.

Conduct a sophisticated credit assessment

Protection against defaults starts with a thorough credit assessment of every issuer we consider investing in. We examine quantitative factors – such as debt and financial strength – using our global research tool, Advanced Analytics, while also considering qualitative factors. We review assessments frequently and debate them between analysts and portfolio managers.

It is important not only to trust the data, but to question established truths. For example, there used to be a belief that the protections offered by the European Central Bank (ECB) meant that sovereign issuers in the eurozone either could not or would not default. Yet the Private Sector Involvement (PSI) implemented by Greece in 2012, during that country's debt crisis, led to a default in all but name – 97% of eligible privately held Greek bonds were restructured, writing off 53.5% of their nominal face value.

The troubles of the Greek public finances were plain to see, yet many investors chose to continue holding Greek bonds, possibly betting that the ECB would step in to ensure they received the bonds' full face value. In our view, a better strategy would have been to act on the warning signs and divest from Greek debt while there was still time.

Play the long game – defaults may be years in the making

Ernest Hemingway wrote that one goes bankrupt “gradually, then

suddenly”. So it is with defaults, which may develop over many years before reaching crisis point.

Let's examine some recent high-profile recent defaults. Concerns over French retailer Casino surfaced in 2019 when its parent company was placed under creditor protection because of high debts. Casino issued a statement that the procedure would not affect its operations, employees or strategic plan, which included an asset disposal scheme to reduce debt. However, in July 2023 Casino missed the interest payment on a EUR 400 million bond and defaulted after the 30-day grace period in August 2023. As a consequence, the rating agency S&P changed rating to “D”.

In retrospect, the governance concerns at the parent company were a signal that Casino itself faced overwhelming challenges, but in this case, it took four years for the drama to reach its climax. This example demonstrates how investors must take a long-term view, considering how default risks develop over years.

Consider how politics influences defaults

As well as governance issues, our investment teams examine the broad landscape in which issuers operate. Inevitably this means considering political risk – both for corporate as well as sovereign issuers.

The exclusion of Russian banks from the international payments system Swift in 2022 following the invasion of Ukraine, coming on top of an existing programme of financial sanctions, stranded various Russian assets and made it effectively impossible for

many Russian issuers to pay their bondholders.

Russian issuers do not necessarily lack the means to pay. But that is little consolation for bondholders who face drawn-out legal procedures and a high likelihood of significant losses.

We believe fixed income investors must examine not only an issuer's ability to pay, but its willingness to do so. There are many cases in history where an issuer had the resources to satisfy bondholders but failed to do so for political reasons.

Monitor credit agencies, but question them

Credit ratings agencies such as S&P, Moody's and Fitch aim to guide bond investors about default risks. Their ratings are useful inputs to the investment process, but we do not treat the agencies' views as the final word. The process followed by the agencies can be seen as backward-looking, and this has sometimes caused them to overlook key risks. One example is the assigning of triple-A ratings to collateralised debt obligations (CDOs), which suffered severe losses in the 2007-08 financial crisis.

Our approach takes the views of the agencies seriously but also interrogates them. What underlying metrics explain the decision to upgrade or downgrade an issuer? By studying the past decisions of the agencies, it is possible to gain insights into their decision frameworks – insights that are valuable for active managers.

Identifying potential defaults gives investors confidence to take calculated risks

It may seem that a laser focus on default risk could lead to a highly cautious approach to fixed income investing – one that would imply steady but low yields. But this is not

true. By identifying and blacklisting issuers that are at risk to default, we strengthen our conviction in those remaining issuers that may offer attractive features. Indeed, the same thorough credit assessment that can identify a deteriorating profile may reveal a “rising star” – an issuer with a “junk” rating with an improving credit profile that could be poised to enter the investment grade universe.

Our view, as active managers, is that the market consensus is often wrong, and that by doing our own research, we can identify and avoid risks that others have not seen and benefit from the market inefficiencies. The best approach to fixed income requires us to do our homework, challenge bias and take nothing for granted.

Investing involves risk. The value of an investment and the income from it will fluctuate and investors may not get back the principal invested. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. This is a marketing communication. It is for informational purposes only. This document does not constitute investment advice or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security and shall not be deemed an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security.

The statements contained herein may include statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements that are based on management’s current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement. The views and opinions expressed herein, which are subject to change without notice, are those of the issuer or its affiliated companies at the time of publication. Certain data used are derived from various sources believed to be reliable, but the accuracy or completeness of the data is not guaranteed and no liability is assumed for any direct or consequential losses arising from their use. The duplication, publication, extraction or transmission of the contents, irrespective of the form, is not permitted. This material has not been reviewed by any regulatory authorities. In mainland China, it is for Qualified Domestic Institutional Investors scheme pursuant to applicable rules and regulations and is for information purpose only. This document does not constitute a public offer by virtue of Act Number 26.831 of the Argentine Republic and General Resolution No. 622/2013 of the NSC. This communication’s sole purpose is to inform and does not under any circumstance constitute promotion or publicity of Allianz Global Investors products and/or services in Colombia or to Colombian residents pursuant to part 4 of Decree 2555 of 2010. This communication does not in any way aim to directly or indirectly initiate the purchase of a product or the provision of a service offered by Allianz Global Investors. Via reception of this document, each resident in Colombia acknowledges and accepts to have contacted Allianz Global Investors via their own initiative and that the communication under no circumstances arises from any promotional or marketing activities carried out by Allianz Global Investors. Colombian residents accept that accessing any type of social network page of Allianz Global Investors is done under their own responsibility and initiative and are aware that they may access specific information on the products and services of Allianz Global Investors. This communication is strictly private and confidential and may not be reproduced, except for the case of explicit permission by Allianz Global Investors. This communication does not constitute a public offer of securities in Colombia pursuant to the public offer regulation set forth in Decree 2555 of 2010. This communication and the information provided herein should not be considered a solicitation or an offer by Allianz Global Investors or its affiliates to provide any financial products in Brazil, Panama, Peru, and Uruguay. In Australia, this material is presented by Allianz Global Investors Asia Pacific Limited (“AllianzGI AP”) and is intended for the use of investment consultants and other institutional /professional investors only, and is not directed to the public or individual retail investors. AllianzGI AP is not licensed to provide financial services to retail clients in Australia. AllianzGI AP is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian Foreign Financial Service License under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) pursuant to ASIC Class Order (CO 03/1103) with respect to the provision of financial services to wholesale clients only. AllianzGI AP is licensed and regulated by Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission under Hong Kong laws, which differ from Australian laws. This document is being distributed by the following Allianz Global Investors companies: Allianz Global Investors GmbH, an investment company in Germany, authorized by the German Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (BaFin); Allianz Global Investors (Schweiz) AG; Allianz Global Investors UK Limited, authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority; in HK, by Allianz Global Investors Asia Pacific Ltd., licensed by the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission; in Singapore, by Allianz Global Investors Singapore Ltd., regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore [Company Registration No. 199907169Z]; in Japan, by Allianz Global Investors Japan Co., Ltd., registered in Japan as a Financial Instruments Business Operator [Registered No. The Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Business Operator), No. 424], Member of Japan Investment Advisers Association, the Investment Trust Association, Japan and Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association; in Taiwan, by Allianz Global Investors Taiwan Ltd., licensed by Financial Supervisory Commission in Taiwan; and in Indonesia, by PT. Allianz Global Investors Asset Management Indonesia licensed by Indonesia Financial Services Authority (OJK).